FILE COPY.

Office Supreme Court U. S.
FILED
NOV 24 1905
JAMES H. McKENNEY,
Clerk.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

FRANK I. WOOD, ET AL
Appellants
vs.
DAVID C. GRAYSON
TRUSTEE
ET AL

Nos. 89, 90 and 439, Oct. term, 1905

Additional Brief on Behalf of Appellants in 90 and 439 and Appellees in 89.

JOHN RIDOUT,
CHARLES F. CARUSI.
Attorneys for Appellants.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

FRANK I. WOOD, ET AL *Appellants* *vs.* DAVID C. GRAYSON TRUSTEE ET AL	Nos. 89, 90 and 439, Oct. term, 1905

Additional Brief on Behalf of Appellants in 90 and 439 and Appellees in 89.

The said appellants make the following additional assignment of error, to be numbered 3:

The Court erred in holding that a ten-foot strip of appellant's land is subject to an easement for support of certain walls and projections and the overhanging of certain eaves.

In support of this additional assignment, appellants rely upon their brief already filed.

In this connection, the especial attention of the Court is called to the conceded facts that the utmost width of these encroachments does not exceed four feet nine inches. (Record, page 51, folio 125.)

The contentions of appellants in opposition to the recognition of such an easement as is set forth in the third assignment of error, are fully set forth in the original brief to which reference is hereby made.

It is respectfully submitted that for the errors assigned in the original brief and the error set forth in said third assignment, the decree below should be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN RIDOUT,

CHARLES F. CARUSI.